

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-14012-2026****Date of decision: 21.05.2026****AJAY KUMAR AND OTHERS**

..... Petitioner(s)

V/s

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Ajit Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Sunny Namdeev, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Aman Kumar, Advocate for the complainant.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No. 324 dated 04.09.2020, under Sections 323, 34, 427, 448, 506, 509 IPC (corresponding Sections 115(2), 3(5), 324, 329(4), 351, 79 of BNS, 2023) registered at Police Station Kanina, Mahendragarh and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 02.03.2026 (Annexure P-5).

Status report dated 19.05.2026 has been filed by the learned State counsel and the same is taken on record.

Vide order dated 16.03.2026 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in terms of certain parameters given in the aforesaid order dated 16.03.2026 with regard to the compromise (Annexure P-5).

In terms of the orders dated 16.03.2026 passed by this Court parties have appeared before the court of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Kanina and as per the report dated 21.04.2026 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543.***

In view of the aforesaid report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Kanina, accompanied by the joint statement of both the parties, the present FIR No. 324 dated 04.09.2020, under Sections 323, 34, 427, 448, 506, 509 IPC (now Sections 115(2), 3(5), 324, 329(4), 351, 79 of BNS, 2023) registered at Police Station Kanina, Mahendragarh, and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners herein.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

May 21, 2026
vishal

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No