

2026.PHHC:039407



129.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-7699-2026

Date of decision: 13.03.2026

Dr. Vishavender Attri

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Mohit Garg, Advocate, for the petitioner.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondent authorities to regularize the services of the petitioner from the date of her initial appointment, in terms of judgment of Hon'ble Apex Court in *SLP(c) No.5580 of 2024-Jaggo Versus Union of India and others*, and *Dharam Singh and others Versus State of U.P. and another*, 2025 SCC Online SC 1735. Further, a writ in the nature of certiorari has been sought for quashing the advertisement (Annexure P-11) whereby the post on which the petitioner is working has been advertised against regular appointment.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for redressal of his grievance, the petitioner has also submitted representation dated 08.09.2025 (Annexure P-9) to the respondents which is still pending

consideration. He further submits that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied, if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No.3 to consider and decide said representation, by passing a speaking order, in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Surya Kumar, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State and has no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.

6. Without going into the merits of the case at this stage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to decide representation dated 08.09.2025 (Annexure P-9) submitted by the petitioner expeditiously, by passing a speaking order after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereon shall be conveyed to the petitioner. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to supplement the said representation within a period of 02 weeks.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

13.03.2026
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No