



112

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-7774-2026
DECIDED ON: 16.03.2026**

SEHDEV KOUSHAL AND OTHERS

.....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Jasmer Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner(s)

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

1. The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India with the prayer that this Honble Court may be pleased to issue a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to allow the petitioners to continue on the of junior Engineer (Civil) in the respondent department as is being appointed as per Deployment of Contractual Policy, 2002 dated 30.06.2022 (Annexure P-4) and in view of their satisfaction of the work and conduct their contract is renewed on the basis of same and moreover vacant regular posts are therein as per Advertisement dated 06.02.2026 (Annexure P-6) the recommendation letter dated 04.03.2025 (Annexure P-8) for extension of their services and is covered under Haryana Contractual Employees (Security of Service) Ordinance 2024 dated 14.08.2024 (Annexure P-7).
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the issue involved in the present petition already stands adjudicated by this Court *vide* order dated

24.12.2025 passed in CWP-7437-2025 titled as '*Renu and ors. vs. State of Haryana and ors.*'

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. AG. Haryana, having been served with an advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and undertakes that the impugned relieving order by which the services of the petitioners was dispensed with shall be withdrawn and they will be allowed to continue till a fresh decision is taken. It has further been stated that the State shall undertake a fresh consideration of the matter by examining the case of the petitioners independently and shall pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.

5. In light of above, the present writ petition is disposed of in terms of the order dated 24.12.2025 passed in *Renu and ors. (supra)*, as the controversy involved herein is squarely covered by the said decision. Accordingly, the respondents are directed to extend the same benefits to the petitioners, as admissible under law, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

6. Ordered accordingly.

7. Pending application(s), if any shall be disposed off.

16.03.2026

Meenu

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No