



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-19195-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 02.02.2026

Saroj Devi @ Saroj KumariPetitioner
Versus
State of Punjab and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: None for the petitioner.
Ms. Pratibha Bali, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner, by instituting the instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, prays for issuance of a Mandamus upon respondents No.1 to 5, to execute the order dated 21.03.2013 (Annexure P-1), passed by the Controlling Authority, under the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972, vide which, the petitioner has been held entitled to receive an amount of Rs.22,860/- along with interest @ 9% from the date of application, i.e. 14.09.2009, till actual payment.
2. At the outset, learned State counsel submits that in compliance to the order (supra), an amount of Rs.43,087/- has already been remitted to the petitioner. Therefore, nothing survives for adjudication in the instant *lis*.
3. There is no representation on behalf the petitioner.
4. Be that as it may, since the order (supra), as informed by learned State counsel, has been complied with, no purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.
5. Accordingly, the present petition is **disposed of**. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to get the instant petition revived, in case any cause of action still survives, by moving an apt application/motion.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

02.02.2026
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No