



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

110

**CWP-7625-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision: 13.03.2026**

Anand Sabhrawal

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Ankur Mittal, Sr. Advocate
with Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate
and Ms. Sharvi Dadhwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, Addl. A.G., Haryana
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Piyush Khanna, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner for promotion to the post of Sub-Divisional Engineer (Electrical) in accordance with the applicable service rules, final gradation list, reservation in promotion instructions dated 07.10.2023 and binding judicial precedents considering the fact that the petitioner is eligible for promotion and his claim is being wrongfully denied. Further prayer has been made to direct the respondents to grant promotion to the petitioner on the post of Sub-Divisional Engineer (Electrical) with all



consequential benefits, including seniority, notional fixation of pay and continuity of service, from the date on which the petitioner was entitled to be promoted, with actual monetary benefits. Further a writ of certiorari has been sought, for quashing the promotion order dated 01.01.2025 (Annexure P-27), whereby respondent No.4 has been promoted to the post of Sub-Divisional Engineer (Electrical). Another prayer has been made to direct the respondents to fill up the promotional posts of Sub-Divisional Engineer (Electrical) strictly in accordance with the statutory 40:60 recruitment ratio, after giving due and meaningful effect to the reservation in promotion applicable to Scheduled Caste employees.

2. Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied if the legal notice dated 09.01.2025 (Annexure P-29) and representation dated 30.01.2026 (Annexure P-30) of the petitioner is decided by respondent No.2 by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3, appearing on advance notice, submits that they have no objection, in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 for time-bound consideration and decision of the legal notice dated 09.01.2025 (Annexure P-29) and representation dated 30.01.2026 (Annexure P-30) of the petitioner by passing a speaking order.

4. Therefore, in view of the limited prayer made by learned Senior counsel for the petitioner, the respondent No.2 is directed to



consider the legal notice dated 09.01.2025 (Annexure P-29) and representation dated 30.01.2026 (Annexure P-30) of the petitioner and pass a speaking order, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, within a period of 03 months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereof shall be conveyed to the petitioner. Needless to say, if the petitioner is found entitled to the relief sought, the same shall be granted forthwith by respondent No.2.

5. Disposed of, accordingly.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

13.03.2026
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No