



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

106

CWP-7519-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision : 24.03.2026

SAKANDER SINGH VIRK

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA

Present :- Mr. Aman Pal, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Neha Rani and Mr. Rajender Kumar, Advocates
for the petitioner.

Deepinder Singh Nalwa, J. (Oral)

1. In the present writ petition, the petitioner has challenged the order dated 23.02.2026 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar (Rural), whereby the claim of the petitioner for extension of service from 58 to 60 years, has been declined.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that a perusal of the impugned order dated 23.02.2026 (Annexure P-1) would show that the reason for not granting extension to the petitioner from 58 to 60 years is that the petitioner does not have more than 40% disability in any category of the disabilities, as per the rules.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that similar issue has already been decided by the Co-ordinate Bench this Court vide judgment dated 03.02.2023 passed in **CWP-12596 of 2019 titled as 'Prem Nath Vs. Punjab Water Resources Management and Development Corporation and others'** and on the basis of the above said judgment, the petitioner has a right to continue in service till the age of 60 years.



CWP-7519-2026

:2:

4. Learned counsel submits that the above said judgment of the Co-ordinate Bench has not been taken into consideration while passing the order dated 23.02.2026 (Annexure P-1).

5. Learned counsel, on instructions from the petitioner, submits that at this stage, petitioner will be satisfied if a direction is given to respondent No.4 to re-consider the case of petitioner, in light of the judgment passed by the Co-ordinate Bench this Court in **Prem Nath's** case (*supra*), within a time bound manner.

6. Notice of motion.

7. Mr. Rohit Ahuja, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents and does not oppose to the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

8. In view of the above and without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 to re-consider the case of the petitioner for extension in service in light of the judgment passed in **Prem Nath's** case (*supra*) within a period of 01 month from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order. In case, the claim of the petitioner is rejected, respondent No.4 is directed to pass a speaking order and the copy of the same be supplied to the petitioner.

9. The petitioner is directed to supply copy of the judgment of **Prem Nath's** case (*supra*) to the respondents.

(DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA)
JUDGE

24.03.2026

Rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No