



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

117

CWP-7453-2026

Date of decision : 12.03.2026

Paramjit Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Ranjit Singh Kalra, Advocate with
Mr. Randeep Singh and Ms. Mona Yadav, Advocates
for the petitioner.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus, directing the respondents to re-allocate the station in District Sangrur as per seniority by offering the vacant stations which had not been put under the option list earlier and to permit the petitioner to submit her options for the vacant stations in District Sangrur and allocate her the station as per seniority. Further, seeking issuance of a writ of certiorari for quashing the order dated 17.09.2024 (Annexure P-3) to the extent that the petitioner has not given all the vacant stations in the option list in District Sangrur and thereafter, vide order dated 23.06.2025 (Annexure P-5) other persons have been adjusted in the said vacant stations.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for redressal of her grievances, the petitioner has also submitted representation dated 16.06.2025 (Annexure P-6) to the respondents which is still pending consideration. He further submits that at this stage, the petitioner would



CWP-7453-2026

-2-

be satisfied, if appropriate directions are issued to the respondents to consider and decide the said representation, by passing a speaking order, in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Surya Kumar, A.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State. He has no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.
6. Without going into the merits of the case at this stage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide representation dated 16.06.2025 (Annexure P-6) submitted by the petitioner expeditiously, by passing a speaking order after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within a period of 02 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereon shall be conveyed to the petitioner.

12.03.2026
kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No