



CWP-7599-2026 (O&M)

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

143

CWP-7599-2026 (O&M)

Date of decision: 23.04.2026

Babu Lal

...Petitioner

Vs.

Ravindra Kumar and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present: Dr. Kiran Pal Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Abhimanyu Antil, DAG, Haryana.

HARSH BUNGER J. (Oral)

1 Petition herein is, *inter alia*, seeking a writ in the nature of Certiorari, for setting aside the order dated 30.10.2023 (Annexure P-7) passed by learned Assistant Collector 1st Grade, Rewari, whereby Naksha Bey was approved.

1.1 A further prayer has been made for setting aside the order dated 02.01.2025 (Annexure P-10) passed by learned Collector, Rewari and order dated 26.11.2025 (Annexure P-12) passed by learned Commissioner, Gurugram Division, Gurugram, whereby appeal as well as revision preferred by the petitioner against order dated 30.10.2023 (Annexure P-7) has been dismissed, respectively.



2. Briefly, respondent No.1 – Ravindra Kumar, filed an application seeking partition of joint land measuring 136 kanal - 09 marla, comprised in Khewat No.46/24 (as per jamabandi for the year 2018-19) situated at village Jitpura Istmurar, Tehsil and District Rewari.

3. In the aforesaid partition proceedings, mode of partition was prepared and on that basis Naksha Bey was called from the field staff. Upon receipt of Naksha Bey from the field staff, objections of co-sharers were called thereto, wherein the present petitioner submitted his objections to the proposed Naksha Bey, the relevant extract of the same reads as under:-

“1. That the Naksha B (Map B) dated 24.04.2023, filed in the present application for partition, is entirely wrong, contrary to law, and contrary to the facts. The said Naksha B has been prepared without taking into consideration the rights of all co-sharers.

2. That in Musteel No. 23, Kila No. 9/2, the respondent has constructed a room measuring 30 x 15 (feet) in memory of his uncle Raghuveer Singh, and a marble stone slab has also been installed in his name. A water trough (khail) for watering cattle has also been built there.

3. That in the said Kila No. 9/2, for irrigation from a distant source, the objector has been laying a water pipe at his own expense and carrying out irrigation. At the site, the crop of bajra (pearl millet) is being cultivated.

Therefore, it is humbly requested by way of the present objections that while partitioning the above-mentioned area of Kila No. 9/2, the same may be allotted in the share/portion of the objector. Your great kindness will be appreciated.”

**CWP-7599-2026 (O&M)**

-3-

3.1 A perusal of the above-extracted objections to *Naksha Bey* would clearly show that the petitioner herein had raised only one objection that he had constructed a room in memory of his uncle Raghuveer Singh in killa No.9/2 of rectangle No.23, and it was further submitted that in the same killa number he has also laid down pipeline for the irrigation purposes. Accordingly, prayer was made that the said area be allotted to him.

4. It transpires that the learned Assistant Collector, after considering the aforesaid objection raised by the petitioner, approved *Naksha Bey*, which came to be challenged by the petitioner by filing an appeal before the learned Collector, Rewari; which was dismissed vide order dated 02.01.2025 (Annexure P-10).

5. Still dissatisfied, petitioner preferred a revision before the learned Commissioner, Gurugram Division, Gurugram, which has also been dismissed vide order dated 26.11.2025 (Annexure P-12).

6. In view of the aforementioned circumstances, present petition has been filed before this Court, for seeking relief(s) as noted hereinabove.

7. I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the paperbook with his able assistance.

8. Apparently, in the aforesaid partition proceedings, when the *Naksha Bey* was proposed, the objections of all the co-sharers were sought thereto. The petitioner submitted his objections to *Naksha Bey* wherein, he had raised only one objection, that the area comprised in killa No.9/2 of rectangle No.23 be allotted to him. Concededly, said area comprised in killa No.23//9/2 already stands allotted to the petitioner in the partition



proceedings. The said fact is clearly borne out from a perusal of order dated 02.01.2025 (Annexure P-10), passed by learned Collector, Rewari, the relevant extract of which reads as under:-

“ xxx

xxx

xxx

After carefully hearing the arguments of both parties and reviewing the record of the lower court, I have arrived at this conclusion: The objection dated 05.06.2023 was filed by the Appellant against Map 'B' received in the Misal, in which it was mentioned that in Mauza No. 23, Khasra No. 9/2, the Respondent has constructed a room of 30×15 in memory of his uncle Ragbir, and a marble stone has been placed in his name there, and from the said Khasra No. 9/2, water is being brought through a black pipe laid at his own expense for irrigation from a distance, therefore the said area 9/2 should be included in his share at the time of partition. In Map 'B', Mauza No. 23, Khasra No. 9/2 has been allocated in the name of Appellant Babu Lal son of Gopichand, due to which the lower court dismissed his objection as weak, and due to this there is no deficiency in the lower court's order dated 30.10.2023, and therefore I consider the Appellant's appeal as weak and dismiss it.”

8.1 It further appears that on the basis of approved Naksha *Bey*, the Naksha *Jeem* was prepared and partition proceedings concluded with the drawing of *Sanad Takseem* dated 15.12.2023.

9. In my considered view, once the only claim raised by the petitioner as regards allotment of killa No.23//9/2 in his favour was accepted by the Revenue Authorities and, no further objection is forthcoming from

the record; accordingly, I see no compelling reason which may warrant interference by this Court in the present proceedings.

9.1. It appears that the petitioner has filed all the appeals/revisions followed by instant present writ petition with some oblique motive.

10. No other argument has been raised.

11. Resultantly, the instant writ petition fails and the same is accordingly, *dismissed*.

12. All the pending application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.

23.04.2026

ankit

(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No