



**123 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
 AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-2827-2026

Date of decision: 17.03.2026

JAGTAR SINGH

...PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. G.P.S. Ghuman, Advocate for the petitioner.
(through video conferencing)

Mr. Anup Singh, AAG, Punjab.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present criminal writ petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India is for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.2 to 6 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondent No.7 and to decide the representation dated 28.02.2026 (Annexure P-7) moved by the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that there is some property dispute between the parties; the petitioner has filed a civil suit regarding the property in question, wherein order for maintaining status quo has been passed but despite the said order, respondent No.7 is interfering in the peaceful possession of the petitioner. In this regard, the petitioner has moved a representation dated 28.02.2026 (Annexure P-7) before respondent Nos.3 and 5 i.e. Commissioner of Police, Police Commissionerate, Ludhiana and Station House Officer, Police Station Meharban, District Ludhiana, but no action has been taken so far.



3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Anup Singh, AAG, Punjab, present in Court, accepted notice on behalf of respondent-State and Mr. Abhishek Sharma, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.7 and filed his memo of appearance.

5. Learned State counsel submitted that a complaint by respondent No.7 has also been received regarding forged and fabricated documents by the petitioner and inquiry of the same is still pending.

6. Learned counsel for respondent No.7 submitted that respondent No.7 is 86 years old and the petitioner after giving token money, forged and fabricated the documents i.e. Will, agreement to sell and general power of attorney in his own favour. In this regard, a complaint under Section 156(3) has been moved to the police as well as the Magistrate for taking action. Further, the present petition has been filed just to pressurize the authorities.

7. Heard.

8. Keeping in view the contentions raised by learned counsel for the parties as the matter is pending before the Civil Court as well as before Illaqa Magistrate regarding forgery, this Court finds no merit in the present petition and the same stands dismissed accordingly.

March 17, 2026

manisha

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

- (i) Whether speaking/reasoned
(ii) Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No