



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

112

CWP-7610-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision : 21.04.2026

Rajinder Kumar and others

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Baljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)**CM-5147-CWP-2026**

Prayer in the instant application filed under Section 151 of Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 is for placing on record copies of appeals/representations submitted by the petitioners against the impugned seniority list dated 05.01.2026 as Annexure P-12.

Allowed as prayed for subject to all just exceptions.

CWP-7610-2026

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioners under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ of certiorari for quashing the impugned seniority list dated 05.01.2026 (Annexure P-10). Further, seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to maintain the seniority of the petitioners as per the final seniority list dated 24.08.2021 (Annexure P-6) during the pendency of the present petition; not to rely upon contractual stage merit marks of 2014 for recasting the seniority of the petitioners and to strictly follow the principle that the seniority of contractual/adhoc employees must be

**CWP-7610-2026 (O&M)****-2-**

reckoned from the date of regularization and not from any prior contractual service or merit marks.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that against the impugned seniority list dated 05.01.2026 (Annexure P-10), all the petitioners have already submitted representations/appeals (Annexures P-11 & P-12) which have not been considered by the respondents.

3. On receipt of advance copy of the petition, Mr. Satnampreet Singh Chauhan, D.A.G., Punjab has put in appearance on behalf of the respondents and on instructions from Mr. Deepak Gaveri, Senior Assistant O/o D.S.E. (S) Punjab, submits that the representations/appeals submitted by the petitioners shall be considered and disposed of within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

4. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the instant petition.

5. Dismissed as not pressed.

21.04.2026
kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No