



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

146

CWP-7915-2026

Date of Decision: 16.03.2026

BHARPUR SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Anil Kumar Garg, Advocate for petitioner

Mr. Ashish Pandey, Advocate
For respondent Nos.1 & 3

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 28.05.2025 whereby appellate authority has dismissed his appeal against order dated 09.05.2023.

2. Mr. Ashish Pandey, Advocate has appeared and filed memorandum of appearance on behalf of respondent Nos.1 & 3. The same is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.

3. The respondent inspected premises of the petitioner and made provisional assessment order alleging utilization of domestic supply connection for agricultural purposes. The respondent determined liability of Rs.45,489/-. The petitioner filed objections to provisional assessment order. The respondent vide order dated 15.07.2023 framed final assessment alleging unauthorized use of electricity. The respondent confirmed demand of Rs.45,489/-. The petitioner preferred an appeal which has been dismissed by Appellate Authority by impugned order dated 28.05.2025.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* submits that there was no misuse of connection. The authorities have wrongly created demand against him. The appellate authority has mechanically dismissed his claim.

5. Heard the arguments and perused the record.

6. The petitioner is consumer of respondent No.1 i.e. Punjab State Power Corporation Limited. The officials of respondent conducted inspection of petitioner's premises and found that domestic supply connection was used for agricultural purposes. The respondent determined his liability to the tune of Rs.45,489/-. He preferred appeal before the appellate authority under Section 127 of Electricity Act, 2003. The appeal stands rejected by appellate authority. The appellate authority as well as assessing officer has found that petitioner utilized domestic supply connection for agricultural purposes. There was violation of the terms and conditions of the contract. The findings recorded by authorities are pure findings of fact. There is no allegation of misuse of power or abuse of process of law. The competent authority assessed the additional liability and appellate authority upheld order of assessment. The amount involved is Rs.45,489/-.

7. This Court does not find any manifest factual or legal infirmity in the impugned orders warranting interference.

8. In the backdrop, the petition deserves to be dismissed and accordingly dismissed.

9. Pending Misc. application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

16.03.2026
SDK

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No