

2026:PHHC:059073



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

204-A

CRM-M-13368-2026 (O&M)

Date of decision: 20.04.2026

Parveen Kumari @ Bina

...Petitioner

V/S

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Mr. Rahul Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhbeer Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. S.S. Bhinder, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case arising out of FIR No.0219 dated 11.11.2025, under Sections 85, 316(2), 298 of BNS, Police Station Sardulgarh, District Mansa, Punjab. This is the first petition for anticipatory bail.

2. Vide order dated 12.03.2026 passed by this Court, the petitioner was granted interim bail and was directed to join investigation.

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from Investigating Officer- ASI Malkit Singh, submits that petitioner, though joined



investigation, failed to get recovered gold jewellery entrusted at the time of marriage.

4. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 has opposed has opposed the prayer for grant of bail on the ground that gold ornaments were not recovered.

5. Petitioner has joined investigation pursuant to order of this Court dated 12.03.2026. Anticipatory bail cannot be denied on the ground that entire recovery has not been effected from the petitioner. Whether or not, the gold articles sought to be recovered were indeed entrusted to the petitioner, would be a matter of trial. In the circumstances of the case but without commenting on merits, the petition is allowed and order dated 12.03.2026, granting interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023.

20.04.2026
Kapil

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No