



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

124

CWP-7630-2026

Date of Decision: 13.03.2026

SUSHMA BHARDWAJ

...Petitioner

Vs.

DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM LIMITED AND
OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Tanmoy Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Ravish Kaushik, Addl. A.G. Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order passed by District Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission (for short 'District Commission').

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner on being pointed out that impugned order is an appealable order submits that District Commission has passed order in compliance of directions of this Court and he was not party before this Court. The petitioner was neither party to agreement nor part of Residents Welfare Association.

3. It is undisputed that impugned order was passed in the presence of petitioner and it was petitioner who approached District Commission.

4. Maintainability of writ petition is one aspect and entertainability in view of availability of alternative remedy is another aspect. Considering the availability of remedy of first appeal before State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission and thereafter second appeal before National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, this Court does not deem it appropriate to invoke its writ jurisdiction.

5. Dismissed.

6. It is made clear that the dismissal of petition would not inhibit the petitioner from availing any other alternative remedy.

7. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

March 13, 2026
Deepak DPA

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No