

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(205)

RSA-421-1997 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-16.03.2026

NATHAN KUMAR

... Appellant

Versus

RESHMA DEVI AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Aayush Bansal, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Saket Bhandari, Advocate
for the respondent No.1.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Notice issued to both the parties received back unserved with the report of incomplete address. Counsel for the appellant requested that since he is not in touch with the appellant and has no means to get in touch with the appellant so he is unable to assist the Court. Considering the fact that notice issued to the appellant has been received back unserved for want of complete address.
2. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the instant appeal on the docket, indefinitely.
3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed with liberty to the appellant to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order.
4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands dismissed for want of prosecution of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

16th March, 2026

Poonam

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)

JUDGE

Yes / No
Yes / No