



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

131

CWP-7741-2026

Date of Decision: 13.03.2026

KRISHAN KUMAR

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Sandeep, Advocate for petitioner

Mr. Akshit Pathania, AAG, Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent to constitute a committee to enquire into loss of Rs.23,00,000/- caused to State exchequer.
2. The petitioner claims that respondent has awarded contract to respondent No.6 with respect to construction of passage in District Charkhi Dadri. The bid of petitioner was lowest, however, respondent intentionally rejected his bid and awarded contract to respondent No.6. He uploaded blacklist affidavit. It was on record still his bid was rejected and contract was awarded to respondent No.6. The State has suffered loss of Rs.23,00,000/- on account of allotment of contract to respondent No.6. He has made representation to the authorities but to no avail.



3. Learned State counsel, who on advance notice is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State assures the Court that competent authority would look into the matter and do the needful.
4. Without commenting on merits of the case, in the wake of statement of learned State counsel, the petition stands disposed of.
5. Pending Misc. application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

13.03.2026
SDK

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No