



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(217)

RSA-4407-2001 (O&M)**Date of Decision:-20.04.2026****JAGDEEP SINGH**

... Appellant

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: None for the appellant.

Baldev Singh-Respondent No.1 and
Gurphej Singh-Respondent No.2 present in person.

Mr. Shantanu Bansal, Advocate
for Mr. HKT Talwar, Advocate
for respondent No.3-Bank.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Mr. Shantanu Bansal, Advocate has filed memo of appearance on behalf of the respondent No.3 whereas respondents No.1 and 2 appeared in person.
2. This is a fully burnt case. None is present for the appellant. On the previous date also, none was present and the matter was adjourned for today with directions to the Registry to make efforts to serve the sole appellant through SHO of the area concerned. Notice has been duly served upon appellant but none has come present.
3. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the case on the docket, indefinitely.
4. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution.
5. As a natural corollary, since the main case stand dismissed for want of prosecution of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

20th April, 2026
Poonam

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No