



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

218

CRM-M-14203-2026
Decided on : 20.05.2026

KARAN SINGH ALIAS GORA

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Aashish Sood, Advocate, and
Mr. Harveen Gill, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioners, during the pendency of trial, who have been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed here-under:-

Name of Petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Karan Singh @ Gora, aged about 21 years	266	21.10.2025	109, 115(2), 126(2), 351(2), 351(3), 191(3), 190 of BNS, 2023 (corresponding to sections 307, 323, 341, 506, 148, 149 of IPC)	Bhawanigarh	Sangrur

3. A few facts necessary for adjudication of the present case may be noticed.



Complainant/injured – Karanvir Singh got registered the aforementioned FIR alleging that he was caused injuries by the accused persons, namely, (i) Jagsir Singh @ Matendo, (ii) Sukhdeep Singh @ Prince, (iii) Sunny @ Nepali, (iv) Arshdeep Singh, (v) Sarup, (vi) Karan @ Gora (petitioner herein), (vii) Shonki, and 2/3 unknown persons, who had intercepted the vehicle of the injured and inflicted injuries upon them.

4. Role assigned to the petitioner, as mentioned in the status report dated 18.05.2026, today filed in Court by learned State counsel in the present case, is reproduced here-under:-

Petitioner – Karan Singh alias Gora:-

“10. ROLE OF THE PETITIONER

That petitioner Karan Singh alias Gora is directly involved in the present FIR No. 266 dated 21.10.2025 under section 109, 126 (2), 115 (2), 351 (2), 351 (3), 191(3), 190 BNS P.S Bhawanigarh and following injuries have been attributed to victims are as under:-

- 1. Petitioner Karan Singh alias Gora gave blow with iron weapon (khopra) on right elbow of victim Karanvir Singh.*
- 2. Petitioner Karan Singh alias Gora gave blow with iron weapon (khopra) on the back side of head of victim Gagandeep Singh.*
- 3. Petitioner Karan Singh alias Gora gave blow with iron weapon (khopra) on shoulder of victim Gagandeep Singh.*

11. EVIDENCE AGAINST THE PETITIONER

On the basis of the statement of victim Karanvir Singh the present petitioner is nominated in the present FIR



and injuries are attributed as per the MLR'S of the victims.

12. CRIMINAL ANTECEDENTS

That as per the perusal of the police record apart from the present FIR no other FIR has been registered against petitioner”

5. There are three injured in the present case, namely, (i) Karanvir Singh, (ii) Gagandeep Singh, and (iii) Harmandeep Singh. None of them has suffered any injury which can be termed as grievous or dangerous to life. The nature of injuries is as under:-

- *MLR of Karanvir Singh reflects three injuries caused with blunt edged weapon. All the injuries, however, were found to be simple.*
- *MLR of Gagandeep Singh reflects six injuries caused with blunt edged weapon, which were declared simple in nature.*
- *MLR of Harmandeep Singh reflects two injuries caused with blunt edged weapon, which were found to be simple.*

6. Moreover, co-accused namely Sunny Singh and Sumandeep Singh @ Shaunki have already been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court, vide common order dated 19.02.2026 passed in CRM-M-68155-2025 and CRM-M-67467-2025 (Annexure P-2). Thus, petitioner prays for grant of regular bail in the present case.

7. On the other hand, learned State counsel is unable to satisfactorily explain as to on what basis offence under Section 109 of BNS, 2023 has been invoked in the present case, particularly when all the other offences are triable by the Court of learned Magistrate.



However, he attempted to submit that since a rod bearing *garari* was allegedly used for causing injuries on vital parts of the body, the intention of the accused has been inferred by the investigating agency, and thus, provisions of Section 109 of BNS, 2023 have been attracted.

8. This Court does not deem it appropriate to express any conclusive opinion at this stage with regard to the applicability of Section 109 of BNS, 2023, as the same would be a matter for adjudication during trial. However, considering the overall circumstances of the case, particularly the fact that all the injuries attributed to the petitioner are simple in nature, and that the trial has not yet commenced, and co-accused namely Sunny Singh and Sumandeep Singh @ Shaunki have been granted the concession of regular bail, this Court finds that further detention of the petitioner would not serve any useful purpose.

9. Consequently, prayer made in the present petition is **allowed**. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

10. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

11. Any of the discussion done and recorded here above, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case. Therefore, trial Court is expected to decide the case by taking an



independent view, on the basis of evidence available on record, as expeditiously as possible, in accordance with law.

12. Petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

20.05.2026
Lavisha

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/~~NO~~
Whether Reportable: YES/NO