



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-7348-2026 (O&M)

Date of decision: 11.03.2026

Hargurinder Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Regional Transport Officer, Nawanshere, SBS Nagar, and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. P.S. Bawa, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. The lackadaisical and indolent approach of the authorities concerned in not deciding the legal notice dated 09.02.2026 (Annexure P-3), moved by the petitioner, has propelled him to approach this Court through the instant writ petition, as cast under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, for issuance of a Mandamus upon the respondents.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner fairly submits that, at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied in case, a direction is passed upon the respondents to decide the legal notice (*supra*), in a time bound manner.

3. Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, upon being served with an advance copy of the petition, submits that he has no objection, in case a direction is passed to the respondent(s) concerned to decide the legal notice (*supra*) within a stipulated time period.

2026:PHHC:037521



4. Without evincing any opinion upon the merits of the instant writ petition, a Mandamus is passed upon the competent authority, amongst the respondents, to take a final decision on the legal notice (*supra*) within six weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.
5. **Disposed of**, accordingly.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

11.03.2026
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No