



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

136

CRM-M-13881-2026

Date of decision : 16.03.2026

GURPAL SINGH

..... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA PARTAP SINGH

Present : Mr. Laxman Choudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SURYA PARTAP SINGH. J.(Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed by the petitioner for directions to the respondents No.2 to conduct fair, impartial and speedy investigation in a case arising out of FIR No.0017 dated 07.01.2024, under Section 10 of the Haryana Development and Regulation of Urban Areas Act, 1975, Police Station HSEnB, District Ambala.

2. The learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that the petitioner has filed representation dated 24.02.2026 (Annexure P-12) before the respondent No.2-the Director General of Police, Haryana. The learned counsel prays for directions to the respondent No.2 to decide the abovementioned representation within the stipulated time.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Since advance notice has already been served upon the State, Mr. Ramender Singh Chauhan, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 5-State. Hence, the service of notice upon the State is hereby dispensed with.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a direction for deciding the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-12) be given to the respondent No.2 and that the instant petition be disposed of accordingly.

6. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the present petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2-the Director General of Police, Haryana or, on assignment by him, by any other police officer not below the rank of the Superintendent of Police to decide the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-12), by passing a speaking order within a period of one month from the date of receipt of copy of instant order. It is however clarified that no finding is being returned by this Court, with regard to merits of the contents of representation. The respondent No.2 shall be at liberty to make an independent assessment with regard to contents of representation of the petitioner and take an independent decision at his own level.

(SURYA PARTAP SINGH)
JUDGE

16.03.2026

vipin

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	No