



116

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCp-1086-2026
Date of decision: 30.03.2026

Kashmir Singh and others ...Petitioners

Versus

Varun Roojam ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Neeraj Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Singh, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the respondent-State has submitted that in pursuance of the order passed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court on 17.09.2025, a detailed speaking order dated 11.03.2026 has been passed. A copy of the said speaking order has been handed over to this Court during the course of arguments which is taken on record and marked as Mark "A" and a copy of the same has also been given to learned counsel for the petitioners. It is further submitted that as per the said speaking order, the case of the petitioners has been rejected.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that in view of the same, the present contempt petition be disposed of but liberty be granted to the petitioners to challenge the said speaking order, in accordance with law.
3. In view of the above, the present contempt petition is disposed of with liberty aforesaid.

30.03.2026

Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-
Whether reportable:-

Yes/No
Yes/No