

2026:PHHC:013876-DB



101+206-b

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM-14835-CWP-2025 in/and
CWP-7100-2025**

Date of Decision: January 30, 2026

Taranjit Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH CHANDER DIMRI**

Present: Mr. Anurag Chopra, Advocate and
Mr. Harmeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Gupta, Advocate for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Ghangas, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

Mr. Pranav Chadha, Advocate for respondent No. 3.

LISA GILL, J.

CM-14835-CWP-2025

1. Prayer in the application is to place on record reply filed on behalf of respondent No. 3. Same is taken on record subject to just exceptions.

2. Application is, accordingly, disposed of.

CWP-7100-2025

1. Prayer in this writ petition reads as under:-

“Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondent No. 2 and 3 to permit the Petitioner to participate in the Tender for handling and

transportation of food grains and alike commodities at Central Warehouse BD Nabha (1) which on account of the illegal freezing of the Petitioner's account by the Respondent No.3 without issuing any notice for recovery of the any outstanding amount due to which the Petitioner to was unable participate/submit its bid on the GeM Portal for the said tender process thus infringing its Constitutional Rights granted under Article 14 & 19(1)(g) to freely carry out its business and trade in the interest of justice, equity and fair play;

ii) Issue writ, order or direction in the nature of Mandamus directing the Respondent No.2 not to proceed with the said tender process for warehouse BD Nabha (1) for the reasons that the petitioner was not permitted to participate in die said process for reasons beyond its control on account of the illegal freezing/suspension of its GeM account without any opportunity of hearing thus being violative of principles of natural justice,

iii) Issue writ, order or direction in the nature of Mandamus directing the Respondent No.3 to the refund transaction charge/annual maintenance charges paid under protest vide payment receipt dated 28.02.2025 (Annexure P/3) for activating the suspended GeM account in order to participate in the said tender inquiry, which had been levied illegally and arbitrarily upon the Petitioner without any justification and cogent reasons and with a further prayer to adjudicate upon the Representation dated 28.02.2025 (Annexure P/4) submitted to Respondent No.2 by the Petitioner, in the interest of justice, equity and fair play;

v) Issue any other order or direction which in the facts and circumstances of the case, this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper be also passed in favour of the Petitioner;

vi) Dispense with the issuance of advance notices;

vii) Dispense with the filing of certified copies of all Annexures;

viii) Permit the Petitioner to place on record the photocopies of all Annexures;

ix) Award the cost of the petition in favour of the petitioner.”

2. Learned counsel for respondent No. 2 points out that Notice inviting tender for ‘Handling and Transport on Lumpsum Basis – Handling and Transportation of Food Grains and allied commodities at Central Warehouse BD Nabha’ was floated on 07.02.2025 and the same has been awarded to another entity, therefore, no cause of action in respect to the tender subsists in this writ petition.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner affirms and verifies the same.

4. Writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of. Petitioner would, however, be at liberty to avail remedy(ies) available to him in accordance with law in respect to any grievance which may subsist against respondent No. 3.

**(LISA GILL)
JUDGE**

**(RAMESH CHANDER DIMRI)
JUDGE**

January 30, 2026
Rts

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No