



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

112

CWP-7406-2026

Date of decision : 12.03.2026

Lakhwinder Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. H.C. Arora, Advocate with
Ms. Sunaina, Advocate for the petitioner.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus, directing the respondents to post the petitioner back on the post of ETT Teacher in Government Primary School, Dhobiana Basti, Bathinda or any other nearby school, if necessary, by relaxing the provisions of Rule 7.5 of the Punjab Civil Services Rules, Volume-1, Part-1, by exercising powers envisaged under Note 1.8 contained in Chapter-1 of the said Rules (Annexure P-16) for removing hardship caused to a class of employees.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the petitioner is squarely covered by the judgment passed by this Court in *CWP-1168-2026 titled as 'Shivani Jain Vs. Punjab State Power Corporation Limited (PSPCL) and others' decided on 19.01.2026*. He further submits that for redressal of his grievances, the petitioner has also served demand notice dated 04.12.2025 and supplementary demand notice dated 24.12.2025 (Annexures P-15 & P-17) to the respondents



CWP-7406-2026

-2-

which is still pending consideration. He further submits that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied, if appropriate directions are issued to the respondents to consider and decide the said demand/supplementary demand notices, by passing a speaking order, in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Charanpreet Singh, A.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State. He has no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.

6. Without going into the merits of the case at this stage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to consider and decide demand notice dated 04.12.2025 and supplementary demand notice dated 24.12.2025 (Annexures P-15 & P-17) submitted by the petitioner expeditiously, in view of the judgment passed by this Court in *Shivani Jain's case (supra)* and by passing a speaking order after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereon shall be conveyed to the petitioner.

12.03.2026

kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No