



CRM-M-13091-2026

207/2

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-13091-2026
Decided on : 20.04.2026

Sukhvir Singh @ Goldy

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Vrishank Suri, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Vinay Malhotra, DAG, Punjab

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. The instant third petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, during the pendency of trial, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Sukhvir Singh @ Goldy, aged 30 years	205	26.08.2023	21-C of NDPS Act (Section 61-85 added at the time of framing of charges)	Jamalpur	Ludhiana

2. After hearing learned counsel for the parties on 09.04.2026, the following was recorded :

- i) *Petitioner-Sukhvir Singh @ Goldy has filed two separate petitions seeking the same relief.*
- ii) *Firstly, CRM-M-8563-2026 has filed by Mr. Manpreet Singh Sidhu, Advocate, as **second petition** under Section 483 of BNSS (Section 439 of the Cr.P.C.) seeking regular bail in FIR No. 205 dated 26.08.2023, registered under*



CRM-M-13091-2026

2

Section 21-C of the NDPS Act at Police Station Jamalpur, District Ludhiana.

*Subsequently, another petition, i.e., CRM-M-13091-2026, has been filed by Mr. Vrishank Suri, Advocate, as the **third petition** for grant of regular bail in FIR No. 205 dated 26.08.2023, under Section 21-C of the NDPS Act (with Sections 61 and 85 added at the stage of framing of charges), registered at Police Station Jamalpur, Ludhiana.*

iii) Mr. Vrishank Suri, Advocate, representing the petitioner in CRM-M-13091-2026, has expressed regret for the inadvertent error, stating that the said petition was filed without cross-checking the earlier petition, i.e., CRM-M-8563-2026.

iv) List on 20.04.2026.

v) A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of another connected case.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner points out that infact earlier to the filing of the aforementioned, two petitions were filed by petitioner – Sukhvir Singh @ Goldy i.e CRM-M-27815-2024 which was dismissed as withdrawn at that stage on 29.08.2024 and another bail petition i.e. CRM-M-6875-2025 was disposed of on 27.10.2025 by passing a detailed order as having been dismissed as not pressed at that stage.

3. Today, at the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner expresses his apology and seeks withdrawal of the present petition.

4. The petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

April 20, 2026

reena

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE