



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

101

CRM-M-14448-2025
Decided on: 17.03.2026

Paramjit Kaur**.....Petitioner****Versus****U.T. of Chandigarh and others****.....Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH****Present:** None for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, PP, U.T. Chandigarh and
Ms. Srasmi Budhiraja, Advocate for respondent No. 1

Mr. Mikhail Kad, Advocate for respondents No. 3 and 4

Mr. Alankar Narula, Advocate for respondent No. 5

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of FIR No.15 dated 24.12.2024, under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B IPC and Section 24 of Immigration Act, at PS EOW (Economic Offence Wing), Chandigarh.

2. For resolving the dispute, as was proposed by the petitioner, the case was referred to Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court on 09.03.2026, by observing as under:

“1. Although the present petition is pending for the last period of one year and despite granting several opportunities, amount to respondent Nos.2 to 5 have not been paid back by the petitioner.

2. Counsel for the petitioner prays to recommend the dispute to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court, so that the dispute between the parties, i.e.



petitioner and respondent Nos.2 to 5 could be resolved.

3. Out of total Rs.6 lacs, Rs.2.5 lacs has already been paid by the petitioner to respondent No.2 – Sewa Ram.

4. Counsel for respondent Nos.3 to 5 are not averse to the proposal given by counsel for the petitioner today before this Court.

5. Let the parties appear before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court on 10.03.2026.

6. List again on 17.03.2026, awaiting report.

7. However, considering the longevity of the pendency of the present petition, no further opportunity would be granted to the petitioner for settling the dispute with the respondents.

8. Interim order to continue, till the next date of hearing.”

3. The petition is pending before this Court since March 2025.

4. It is observed that vide order dated 04.04.2025, petitioner was directed to join investigation subject to abiding of the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023. Thereafter, the said interim order continued uptill date.

5. Today, petition was kept for awaiting report of Mediation and Conciliation Centre and as per the report dated 16.03.2026, mediation was held on 13.03.2026 but parties could not arrive at an amicable settlement. The case is called twice (once in the first session and second time during second session i.e. post lunch session), however, no one appears to represent the petitioner nor there is any reason with the Court to further grant adjournment or to keep the petition pending, causing delay in its final adjudication.

6. Resultantly, the petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

7. Investigating Officer is directed to proceed in accordance with law.

17.03.2026

reena

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES/NO
~~YES~~/NO