

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2026:PHHC:017045



(204)

RSA-3111-1997 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-05.02.2025

BALWINDER SINGH AND OTHERS

... Appellants

Versus

SURJIT KAUR AND OTHERS

.. Respondents

-:-

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: None for the appellants.

Mr. Manjit Singh Uppar, Advocate,
for respondents No.1, 2, 8 to 10.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Notices issued to the appellants No.1 to 4, 7(A) and 7(B) has been received back with the report of their death whereas, appellants No.5 and 6 are reported to be married. Appellant No.7(C) also served through family member, so, these notices has imputed knowledge of the appeal to the LR's of the appellants, if any, but none has come present today, as such, it seems that no one is interested in pursuing the instant appeal.

2. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the instant appeal on the docket, indefinitely.

3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty to the appellants or LR's of the appellants, if any, to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order, within a period of three months from today.

4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands dismissed for want of prosecution, all miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them.

05th February, 2026

S. Pathania

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No