



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

133

**CRM-M-13756-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision : 16.03.2026**

NIKHIL SAINI

..... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA PARTAP SINGH

Present : Mr. Abhinandan, Advocate for
Mr. Geeteshwar Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SURYA PARTAP SINGH. J.(Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed by the petitioner for directions to the respondents No.1 to 3 to take legal action against the respondents No.4 to 8 in pursuance to cross version case registered vide DDR No.35 dated 11.02.2025 under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 3(5) of BNS in FIR No.0001 dated 15.01.2025 under Sections 333, 118(1), 115(2), 3(5) of BNS Police Station Taragarh, District Pathankot.

2. The learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that the petitioner has filed representation dated 16.04.2026 (Annexures P-3) before the respondent No.2-the Superintendent of Police, Pathankot. The learned counsel prays for directions to the respondent No.2 to decide the abovementioned representation within the stipulated time.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Since advance notice has already been served upon the State, Mr. Eklavya Darshi, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3-State. Hence, the service of notice upon the State is hereby dispensed with.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a direction for deciding the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-3) be given to the respondent No.2 and that the instant petition be disposed of accordingly.

6. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the present petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2 to decide the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-3), by passing a speaking order within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of copy of instant order. It is however clarified that no finding is being returned by this Court, with regard to merits of the contents of representation. The respondent No.2 shall be at liberty to make an independent assessment with regard to contents of representation of the petitioner and take an independent decision at his own level.

7. All other pending applications, if any, are also disposed off, accordingly.

(SURYA PARTAP SINGH)
JUDGE

16.03.2026

vipin

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether Reportable : No