



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(201)

RSA-622-1996 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-19.03.2026

ISHWAR SINGH ... Appellant
Versus
PANCHAYAT SAMITI AND ANOTHER ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: None for the parties.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. None is present on behalf of the appellant. On the previous date also, none appeared on behalf of the appellant. Mr. Himanshu Rao, Advocate had filed Memo of appearance for the appellant on 10.12.2025 but no regular Power of Attorney was filed. Thereafter, notice was issued to Mr. Himanshu Rao, Advocate even thereafter, none appeared for the appellant. The case arises out of suit for mandatory injunction filed in the year 1987. It seems that appellant has lost interest in pursuing the appeal.

2. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the instant appeal on the docket, indefinitely.

3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands dismissed for want of prosecution of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

19th March, 2026
Poonam

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No