

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

211

**CM-4362 and 4363-CWP-2026 in/&
CWP-6023-2004
Decided on: 05.05.2026**

DESH RAJ

.... PETITIONER

VS

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

.... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Chawla, Sr. Panel Counsel
for respondent No.1.

Sandeep Moudgil, J. (Oral)

Instant writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for quashing the order dated 02.08.2003 (P-2) and for grant of directions to the respondents to grant disability pension equal to the pay last drawn in accordance with Govt. Instructions dated 30.01.2003.

2. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.
3. Perusal of the file reveals that on 11.05.2007, Mr. R.S.Manhas, Advocate had appeared on behalf of the petitioner and thereafter, there is no representation on his behalf. On the last date of hearing also, notice was issued to the non-applicant/petitioner in the application moved by respondent No.1 – Union of India seeking deletion of respondent No.1 from the array of parties.
4. Neither there is any representation on behalf of the petitioner nor

reply has been placed on record.

5. The matter pertains to the year 2004 and in the light of relief sought by the petitioner i.e. grant of disability pension equal to the pay last drawn in accordance with Govt. Instructions dated 30.01.2003 to which the petitioner seems to be not interested as he is not pursuing the case any further.

6. This Court can easily inferred that the petitioner does not want to contest the relief prayed the present petition and hence, the same stands dismissed in default for want of prosecution.

7. Ordered accordingly.

05.05.2026
sonia

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/non-speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No