



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

122

CWP-7435-2026

Date of Decision: 12.03.2026

Mona Kumari**....Petitioner****Versus****State of Haryana and others****....Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kotla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Mittal, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Sandeep Chhabra, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner for issuance of directions to respondents to refund the earnest money of Rs.1,57,800/- which was paid on 23.03.2012 and the amount paid in cash on 08.05.2013 for processing fee against application No.11058, which were paid by the petitioner for the allotment of flat along with interest.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner seeks refund of earnest money as well as all other amounts paid along with interest, which benefit has not been extended to the petitioner and that too without any valid justification. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for the issue raised, the petitioner has already sent a legal notice dated 29.03.2025 (Annexure P-8), which is still pending consideration and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage, in case, respondents be directed to decide the above said legal notice in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On an advance copy being served upon the respondents, Mr. Aman Mittal, the learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, and

**CWP-7435-2026**

-2-

Mr. Sandeep Chhabra, Advocate accept notice on behalf of the respondent-State and respondents No.2 and 3 respectively.

5. The learned counsel for respondents submit that in case the legal notice dated 29.03.2025 (Annexure P-8) is still pending consideration with the authorities, the same will be disposed of in accordance with law and an appropriate speaking order will be passed within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of the copy of this order. In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for the relief as claimed in the legal notice, the same will be extended to her; otherwise due reasons for not accepting the claim will be recorded in the speaking order and communicated to the petitioner.

6. In view of the above, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition may kindly be disposed of having not been pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

8. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

March 12, 2026*Varinder*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No