



CRM-M-13055-2026 (O&M)

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

145

CRM-M-13055-2026 (O&M)

Date of decision : 26.05.2026

Pawan Kumar

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA PARTAP SINGH

Present: Mr. K.S. Nalwa, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Ashima Attri and Ms. Sanya Gupta, Advocates
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramender Singh Chauhan, AAG Haryana.

None for the complainant.

SURYA PARTAP SINGH, J. (Oral)

This petition for pre-arrest bail is the first petition filed by the petitioner under Section 482 of 'the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023'. It has been filed with regard to a case arising out of FIR No.264 dated 04.09.2025, for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 120-B, 418, 420, 467, 468, 471 of Indian Penal Code, Police Station Ballabhgarh Sadar, District Faridabad.

2. Vide order dated 23.03.2026, the petitioner was admitted to interim anticipatory bail, subject to the condition of furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of arresting officer. It was also directed that the petitioner shall join the investigation.

3. It has been contended by learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner that vide order dated 23.03.2026, the matter was referred to the



Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court, and that the mediation in the present case has been successful. According to learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner, the terms of compromise have been reduced into writing before the Mediator and as per abovementioned terms and conditions, the entire settlement amount has been returned to the complainant.

4. The abovementioned contention of learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner has been supported by the learned State Counsel.

5. The report of mediation and settlement/agreement received from the Mediator, is available on record. The same has been perused.

6. The record has also been perused carefully.

7. A perusal of record shows that in the present case, following are the relevant factors which are required to be taken into consideration for a decision:-

- i. that the parties have reached to amicable settlement;
- ii. that the terms and conditions of the agreement have already been reduced into writing and complied with;
- iii. that the offence is triable by the Court of Judicial Magistrate;
- iv. that the investigation and trial are not likely to be concluded in near future;
- v. that there is nothing on record to show that if the order dated 23.03.2026 is made absolute, the petitioner is likely to tamper with the evidence or influence the witnesses; and
- vi. that there is nothing on record to show that if order dated 23.03.2026 is made absolute, the petitioner will not participate/cooperate in the investigation/trial.



8. Taking into consideration the cumulative effect of all the abovementioned factors and the fact that the petitioner has already joined the investigation, it is hereby ordered that the petitioner is entitled to anticipatory bail. Hence, the present petition is hereby *allowed* and the order dated 23.03.2026, whereby the petitioner was accorded the benefit of interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute.
9. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(SURYA PARTAP SINGH)
JUDGE

26.05.2026
Gaurav Thakur

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No