



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

CWP-7665-2026

Date of Decision: 13.03.2026

Union of India and others**....Petitioners****Versus****Ex Maj Anuj Kumar and another****....Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Rohit Verma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Roopam Atwal, Advocate
Mr. Rajat Chauhan, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the challenge is to the order dated 05.03.2020 (Annexur P-1) passed by respondent No.2 – Armed Forces Tribunal, Regional Bench, Chandigarh, (for short, ‘the Tribunal’), by which, the claim of respondent No.1 for grant of disability pension has been allowed.

2. At the outset, keeping in view the advance copy being served upon respondent No.1, the Learned Senior Counsel appearing on his behalf submits that the issue raised in the present petition by the Union of India was also raised in **CWP-34135-2025** titled ***Union of India and others vs. Ex. Maj Daljinder Singh and another***, decided on 17.11.2025 and the argument raised has already been declined. The Learned Senior counsel for respondent No.1 further submits that the similar issue has also been decided by the Principal



CWP-7665-2026

-2-

Bench of the Armed Forces Tribunal in Original Application No.603 of 2014 titled as *Maj (Retd) Charanjit Singh Medi vs. Union of India and others*, which judgment has already been upheld upto the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India and, therefore, raising the same argument before this Court again is unfortunate.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to dispute the settled principle of law already decided not only by this Court but even by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, description of which has been given hereinbefore.

4. Keeping in view the totality of the facts and circumstances of the present case as the issue raised in the present petition has already been decided, the present petition is also dismissed in terms of the order passed in CWP-34135-2025, as detailed above.

5. Ordered accordingly.

6. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

March 13, 2026

Varinder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No