



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

110

CWP-7662-2026

Date of Decision: 13.03.2026

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

...Petitioners

Versus

JASWINDER SINGH AND ANR

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present:- Mr. Rohit Verma, Senior Standing Counsel,
for the petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

1. In the present petition, the challenge is to the impugned order dated 09.01.2023 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No.2-Armed Forces Tribunal, Regional Bench, Chandigarh (hereinafter referred to as 'Tribunal'), by which, respondent No.1 has been allowed the benefit of invalid pension for the service rendered by him from 18.12.2012 to 13.06.2023 along with arrears for life.

2. The controversy raised in the present writ petition has already been adjudicated by the Coordinate Bench of this Court in ***Union of India Others Vs. Ravinder Kaushik***; 2024 SCC Online P&H 11732, relying upon the judgement of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in ***Civil Appeal No(s). 16438-16440/2017*** titled ***Ex. RECT Mithilesh Kumar Vs. Union of India & others, decided on 27.10.2017*** and ***SLP(C) No.20330 of 2011*** titled as ***Union of India and others vs. P.A.Thomas, decided on 14.03.2019***. Learned counsel for the petitioners has not been able to rebut the same.

3. We find no ground to take a different view as the issue stands



already adjudicated by the Hon’ble Supreme Court of India on the pointed issue. In such view of the matter, the present petition stands dismissed in term of ***Ravinder Kaushik’s*** case (supra).

4. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

March 13, 2026
harish

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No