



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

236

RSA-3308-2002

Date of Decision:-23.04.2026

Gurbaj SinghAppellant
Versus

Mahiya Singh and OthersRespondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Arnav Mittal, Advocate, &
Mr. Akshat Mittal, Advocate,
for the respondents.

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (Oral)

1. On the previous date, learned counsel for the appellant had submitted that his client was not in contact with him and, as such, notice be issued to him. Today, none has put in appearance on behalf of the appellant and, as per the office report, the appellant could not be served, as the notice issued to him has been received back with the report that no such person is residing at the given address.
2. In view of the above, and considering the fact that the present appeal pertains to the year 2002, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution, with liberty to the appellant in the present appeal to seek revival of the same, if so advised, as per law.
3. Pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

23.04.2026
anil

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:-	Yes/No