

COCP-1072-2026(O&M) 1

2026:PHHC:057240



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCP-1072-2026(O&M)

Date of decision : 16.04.2026

Sheo Singh & Company and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Pankaj Agarwal and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Ms. Tejaswini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Raj Partap Singh Brar, AAG, Haryana
for respondent no.1.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, Advocate
for respondents no.2 to 5.

Mr. R.S. Malik, Advocate
for respondent nos.7 to 12.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. On 09.04.2026, this Court was pleased to pass the following
order:-

*“Present:- Ms. Tejaswini, Advocate
for the petitioners.*

*Mr. Raj Partap Singh Brar, AAG, Haryana
for respondent No.1.*

*Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4.*

Mr. Sanjeev Kaushik, Advocate, and

2026:PHHC:057240



*Ms. Anisha Rana, Advocate
for respondent No.5.*

*Mr. R.S. Malik, Advocate, and
Ms. Riya Malik, Advocate
for respondents No.7 to 12.*

*Learned counsel appearing for respondents No.2 to 4 prays
for a short adjournment to have a re-look at the order dated 16.02.2026 so
that no part of the action taken by respondents No.2 to 4 is in violation of
the directions given by the Division Bench of this Court on 29.08.2025.*

Adjourned to 16.04.2026.

To be taken up immediately after the urgent matters.

April 09, 2026”

2. Learned counsel for respondents no.2 to 5 has submitted that after relooking at the order dated 16.02.2026, it has been decided that the said order dated 16.02.2026 (Annexure P-3) would be withdrawn and has further submitted that a formal order regarding the withdrawal of the said order dated 16.02.2026 would be passed and till the time the said order is not passed, the order dated 16.02.2026 would not be acted upon. In support of said submissions, learned counsel for respondents no.2 to 5 has produced the original file to highlight the noting of the Chief Administrator dated 15.04.2026 wherein it has been recorded that as per the legal opinion, the speaking order may be withdrawn subject to finalization in accordance with law. It is submitted that the respondents would pass a fresh order in compliance to the order of the Division Bench dated 17.10.2025 (Annexure P-2), in accordance with law.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that in view



of the same, the present contempt petition be disposed of but the respondents be bound by the statement made before this Court.

4. In view of the above, the present contempt petition is disposed of and the respondents would be bound by the statement made before this Court.

5. Pending application, if any, stands disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

April 16, 2026.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No