



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

228 (2 cases)

FAO-3481-2001

Date of Decision:-21.04.2026

Suresh PalAppellant
Versus

Sarni and othersRespondents

FAO-3488-2001

JaspalAppellant
Versus

Sarni and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Y. S. Turka, Advocate, for the appellant(s)
in both cases.

Mr. Ajit Kumar Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (Oral)

- 1. Learned counsel for the appellant(s) has submitted that he has no instruction in the matter as his clients are not in contact with him.
- 2. In view of the above, and considering the fact that the present appeals pertain to the year 2001, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the matters pending. Accordingly, the present appeals are dismissed for non-prosecution, with liberty to the appellant(s) in both the appeals to seek revival of the same, if so advised, as per law.
- 3. Pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.
- 4. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

21.04.2026
anil

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:-	Yes/No