



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

217

CWP-19811-2021

Date of Decision: 05.02.2026

KEHAR SINGH NAGRA

...Petitioner

Versus

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Tajender Singh Sullar, Central Govt. Counsel,
for respondent No.2-UOI.

Mr. Harsh Aggarwal, Advocate, for respondent Nos.3 and 4.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

1. On the last date of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner had taken time to argue as to whether the claim raised was within the limitation as provided under Section 21 of the Administrative Tribunal Act, 1985.

2. Today, no one has appeared on behalf of the petitioner, hence, it can safely be presumed that the petitioner has no answer to the query raised and is not interested in pursuing the present writ petition.

3. Dismissed for non-prosecution.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

February 05, 2026*harish*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No