



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(118)

COCP-1078-2026

Date of decision: - 25.03.2026

Subhash and others

....Petitioners

Versus

Anurag Agarwal and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Sube S. Kaushik, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Raj Partap Singh Brar, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the respondents-State has submitted that in pursuance of the order passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, a detailed speaking order dated 17.03.2026 has been passed and a copy of the said order has been handed over to the Court and is taken on record and has been marked as "Mark A". A copy of the said order has also been handed over to the learned counsel for the petitioners. It is submitted that the present petitioners had relied upon a judgment of the Division Bench of this Court dated 25.03.2025 passed in CWP-11710-2014 titled as "*Sarbans Singh and others Vs. State of Haryana and others*". It is further submitted that a bunch of writ petitions which was decided on 25.03.2025, wherein, directions were issued to extend the benefit of revised technical pay scale to similarly situated employees, was



challenged by filing a *Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).19691-19700/2025* titled as “*State of Haryana and others Vs. Hari Nath and others*” and in the said SLP, along with other SLPs, notice has been issued and it has been observed that in the meanwhile, the respondents therein shall not pursue the contempt proceedings pending before the High Court.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that in view of the above, the present contempt petition be disposed of, but liberty be granted to the petitioners to challenge the speaking order dated 17.03.2026 in case they are aggrieved by the same, in accordance with law.

3. Disposed of, with the aforesaid liberty.

4. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the maintainability or merits of any such challenge, which the petitioners wish to lay and in case any such challenge is made by the petitioners, the same would be considered independently, in accordance with law.

March 25, 2026
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No