



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 961 of 2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 28.11.2024

Gurmeet Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Rajinderjit Kaur, Block Development and Panchayat
Officer, Jalandhar

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Jagjot Singh Lalli, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Gunjan Mehta, Additional Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the respondent has filed compliance report dated 28.11.2024 on behalf of the respondent, which is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to the opposite side.

[2] In view of the aforesaid reply, learned counsel submits that the demarcation of the site has been carried out, followed by filing a petition under Section 7 of the Punjab Village Common Land (Regulation) Act, 1961 **(for short “the Act, 1961”)**, thereupon notices also stands issued. He further, on instruction, undertakes that the proceedings of petition filed under Section 7 of the Act, 1961, shall be concluded within a period of six months from the date of its filing.

[2] In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition any further.

[3] Dismissed as not pressed.

[4] However, in case the needful is not done within the afore-stated period, as per undertaking, the petitioner would be at liberty to seek revival of the present contempt petition and in that eventuality, the erring / concerned officer(s) would be liable to pay additional sum of Rs.50,000/- as costs from his/her own pocket in favour of petitioner towards litigation expenses, immediately, i.e. on the first date of listing of revival application.

[5] Rule stands discharged.

November 28, 2024
'dk kamra'

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>