



CRM-M-12859-2026 (O&M)

-1-

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-12859-2026 (O&M)

Reserved on: 13.03.2026

Pronounced on: 16.03.2026

Uploaded on: 16.03.2026

SUKHBIR SINGH @ SUKHA**...Petitioner****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB****...Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL**

Present: Mr. Himanshu Puri, Advocate
for Mr. Sunny K. Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Bareen Pratap Singh, AAG Punjab.

SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioner seeks regular bail in FIR No.80 dated 16.08.2025 under Sections 137(2) and 96 Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, Police Station City Ahmadgarh, District Malerkotla. This is the first petition for regular bail.
2. Complaint stated that she had two daughters. Her younger daughter B.S. aged 14 years was a student of Class 10. Previously also, B.S. had gone to live with her friends and returned home after 2-3 days. On 06.08.2025, at about 9.45 AM, B.S. left home saying that she was going to the house of her maternal grandparents in Phillaur. After that, her phone was switched off. Till 09.08.2025, when she did not contact them, they made enquiries, but could not trace her. Till that day, they had been searching for B.S. but to no avail. They suspected that some unknown person had taken her away and hidden her.



CRM-M-12859-2026 (O&M)

-2-

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was behind bars for the last 06 months and 19 days. Investigation of the case was complete. Petitioner was a youthful offender and had been falsely implicated in the case. Considering the period of custody undergone by him and all relevant facts and circumstances, he deserved to be enlarged on bail.

4. Learned State counsel has opposed the prayer for regular bail submitting that in her statement recorded under Section 183 BNSS, prosecutrix stated that she had gone with the petitioner to Amritsar and Chandigarh, where they stayed at *Gurudwaras* and *Peer Dargahs*, before they were caught by the police. The prayer for regular bail is opposed on the ground of severity of allegations.

5. Petitioner is behind bars for the last 06 months 19 days. Trial has not yet commenced and would take long to conclude. Antecedents of the petitioner are clean. He is not stated to be involved in any other case. His further incarceration would not serve any purpose. There is no reason to suspect that he would tamper with the evidence or would obstruct the course of justice. In the facts and circumstances of the case, but without commenting on merits, the petition is allowed. Petitioner-Sukhvir Singh @ Sukha is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

6. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

MARCH 16, 2026

Ajay Goswami

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

: Yes/No
: Yes/No