



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

111

CWP-7760-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision: 16.03.2026

Ranjodh Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Pepsu Road Transport Corporation and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Jagjit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Abhilaksh Gaiind, Standing Counsel
with Mr. Rakesh Roy, Advocate
and Ms. Priya Jarial, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondent No.2 for releasing the amount of Rs.2,26,054/- with interest @ 12% per annum to the petitioner.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied if the legal notice dated 20.05.2025 (Annexure P-5) of the petitioner is decided by respondent No.2 by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner.
3. Learned counsel for respondent No.1, appearing on advance notice, submits that he has no objection, in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 for time-bound consideration and decision of



the legal notice dated 20.05.2025 (Annexure P-5) of the petitioner by passing a speaking order.

4. Therefore, in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the respondent No.2 is directed to consider the legal notice dated 20.05.2025 (Annexure P-5) filed by the petitioner and pass a speaking order, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, within a period of 03 months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereof shall be conveyed to the petitioner. Needless to say, if the petitioner is found entitled to the relief sought, the same shall be granted forthwith by respondent No.2.

5. Disposed of, accordingly.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

16.03.2026
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No