



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(124)

CWP-7447-2026

Date of decision:- 12.03.2026

PARVEEN

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present:- Mr. Rohit Mittal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

1. The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to reinstate the petitioner in service and release unpaid wages, arrears, and consequential monetary benefits. It is further prayed that this hon'ble court may direct the respondents to pay the arrears due to disparity of grade pay, to the petitioner. Further issue a writ the nature of certiorari quashing the impugned relieving order dated 30.07.2025, Annexure P-4, relieving the petitioner as Group 'D' in most illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory and un-constitutional manner in violation of the policy instructions dated 07.08.2024 and 15.01.2025, Annexure P-13 and P-14.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the issue involved in the present petition already stands adjudicated by this Court *vide* order dated



24.12.2025 passed in CWP-7437-2025 titled as ‘*Renu and ors. vs. State of Haryana and ors.*’

3. Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. A.G., Haryan, having been served with an advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and undertakes that the impugned relieving order by which the service of the petitioner was dispensed with shall be withdrawn and he will be allowed to continue till a fresh decision is taken. It has further been stated that the State shall undertake a fresh consideration of the matter by examining the case of the petitioner independently and shall pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.
4. In light of above, the present writ petition is disposed of in terms of the order dated 24.12.2025 passed in *Renu and ors. (supra)*, as the controversy involved herein is squarely covered by the said decision. Accordingly, the respondents are directed to extend the same benefits to the petitioner, as admissible under law, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.
5. Ordered accordingly.
6. Pending application(s), if any shall be disposed off.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

12.03.2026
parul verma

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No