



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

137

CWP-7164-2026

Date of Decision: 10.03.2026

HARI RAM

...Petitioner

Versus

NATIONAL LOK ADALAT, PUBLIC UTILITY SERVICES, HISAR,
HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Vishal Khatkar, Advocate and
Mr. Yash Goyal, Advocate for petitioner

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 12.03.2022 whereby Principal Judge, Family Court Hisar-cum-National Lok Adalat, Hisar has made him to pay a sum of Rs.7,000/- per month towards maintenance to his children.

2. The petitioner is claiming that he made statement dated 12.03.2022 before Principal Judge under coercion. It was not a voluntary statement, thus, order dated 12.03.2022 needs to be set aside.

3. The petitioner's wife filed petition under Section 125 of Cr.P.C. claiming maintenance. The petitioner appeared before Family Court and undertook to pay a sum of Rs.7,000/- per month towards maintenance and purchase one room residential house in the name of his children. On the basis of said statement, Principal Judge passed order dated 12.03.2022 which reads



“File taken up today before National Lok Adalat.

Parties appeared and efforts made for reconciliation, After pursuance of Court and counsel, parties agreed to resolve their differences and respondent has made a statement to the effect that he will pay Rs.7000/- per month towards maintenance to his children and petitioner no.4 from today on or before 10'h of every month and he will purchase one room residential house in the name of his children for residence. Statement recorded separately Petitioner no.4 also made a statement that she has no objection if the petition be disposed of as per the statement of the respondent.

Keeping in view the statement made by the parties, petition is hereby allowed and the respondent is directed to make the payment to the tune of Rs.7000/- per month from today on or before 10th of every month, Parties are bound by their statement.

Consign the file to record”

4. From the perusal of statement rendered by petitioner and aforesaid order, it is evident that aforesaid order was passed with the consent of petitioner. He is claiming that it was not a voluntary statement. The statement was not made before the Police Officer whereas it was made before Principal Judge, Family Court. It was not retracted at the earliest available opportunity whereas instant petition is filed after 4 years.

5. No ground for interference is made out. Dismissed.

6. Pending Misc. application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

10.03.2026
SDK

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No