



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.138

**CRWP-2660-2026
Decided on : 10.03.2026**

Ram Murati

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL

Present : Mr. Subhash Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL, J (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking directions to the official respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner from the private respondents. It is stated in the petition that the petitioner has already made representation (Annexure P-11) before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar raising the said grievances, but no action has been taken so far.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No.2-The Senior Superintendent of Police, District Jalandhar to consider the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioner and take necessary action thereon qua threat perception.

3. Notice of motion.



4. Mr. Ravinder Singh, DAG Punjab, who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course.

5. In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No.2-The Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar to consider and decide the aforesaid representation (Annexure P-11) of the petitioner qua threat perception only within a period of 30 days from today, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law.

10.03.2026
mamta

(RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No