



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.13102 of 2026
Date of decision: 22.04.2026**

Vishal Arora

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANDEEP PANNU

Present :- Mr. Suresh Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

MANDEEP PANNU, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS) for quashing of FIR No.512 dated 23.06.2023, registered under Sections 384 and 506 IPC (corresponding provisions under Sections 308(2), 351(2)(3) of B.N.S. 2023), at Police Station Karnal City, District Karnal, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

2. It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the impugned FIR has been lodged falsely and maliciously due to political pressure and personal vendetta. It is submitted that the petitioner is a businessman and has been unnecessarily implicated at the instance of influential persons of the locality. It is further argued that the dispute, if any, is civil in nature and has been given a criminal colour. Learned counsel



submits that the petitioner had earlier raised complaints against certain irregularities relating to the complainant party, which resulted in the registration of the present FIR as a counterblast. It is also contended that the allegations levelled in the FIR do not constitute the ingredients of the offences alleged and continuation of the proceedings would amount to abuse of the process of law. On these premises, prayer has been made for quashing of the FIR.

3. I have heard the contentions of learned counsel for the petitioner and gone through the record.

4. Admittedly, charges have already been framed in the present case and two prosecution witnesses have been examined.

5. In view of the above, this Court finds that the contentions raised by the petitioner pertain to disputed questions of fact, which require appreciation of evidence and cannot be adjudicated upon in proceedings under Section 528 of BNSS. The pleas sought to be raised by the petitioner can very well be considered by the trial Court during the course of trial. The petitioner shall be at liberty to raise all such pleas before the trial Court at the appropriate stage and to substantiate the same by leading defence evidence, in accordance with law.

6. This Court is of the considered opinion that no case is made out for quashing of the FIR at this stage. The allegations in the FIR, *prima-facie*, disclose the commission of cognizable offences and the matter is already at an advanced stage of trial. Interference by this Court at this juncture would not be justified. The petition, being devoid of merit, is



accordingly dismissed.

7. All pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

22.04.2026
neetu

(MANDEEP PANNU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No