



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

108

CWP-7063-2026

Date of Decision: 21.05.2026

PAL SINGH SANDHU

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Aayush Bansal, Advocate for petitioner

Mr. Akshit Pathania, AAG, Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to take action against respondent No.5-Jat Mahasabha, Karnal.

2. The petitioner claims that he remained member of Governing Body of Jat Mahasabha Karnal during 2014 to 2017. The Mahasabha built Dharmshala in Sector 12, Karnal. The Mahasabha is also having Senior Citizen Home and Yoga Center besides other Public Utility Services. He was removed from the Sabha. He preferred *CWP-9857-2021* which was disposed of as infructuous vide order dated 13.12.2021 passed by this Court. The election was conducted in violation of Section 39(4) of Haryana Registration



and Regulation of Societies Act, 2012 (for short ‘2012 Act’) because list of collegium members was not published. An inquiry has been conducted wherein it has been found that there is huge bungling and apparent irregularities. No action has been taken. He has submitted representations to jurisdictional authorities.

3. Learned State counsel submits that competent authority would proceed in accordance with Section 55 of 2012 Act.

4. In the wake of statement of learned State counsel, the petition stands disposed of. The Court is sanguine that authorities would act swiftly.

5. Pending Misc. application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

21.05.2026
SDK

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No