



**112 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No. 2565 of 2026 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 20.03.2026**

INDERJIT SINGH

.....PETITIONER

Vs.

AMANDEEP KAUR AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Ravinder Singh Randhawa, Senior Advocate, with
Ms. Tarranum Madan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J.(ORAL)

1. The present Civil Revision Petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the impugned order dated 20.02.2026 (Annexure P-1), passed by the learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Patiala, in Civil Suit No. 1283 of 2020 titled as '*Amandeep Kaur vs. Maninder Singh and others*', whereby the application dated 24.12.2025 (Annexure P-10) filed by the petitioner-defendant No. 3 for directing the concerned '*Patwari Halka*', *Bakshiwala*, to submit the valuation report as per the market value of the property of the petitioner—Inderjit Singh (defendant No. 3), in pursuance of the order dated 11.11.2025 (Annexure P-9) passed by this Court in CR No. 7247 of 2025, titled as '*Mohinder Singh and others vs. Amandeep Kaur*' has been dismissed solely on the ground that there are already two valuation reports on record, one submitted by the petitioner-defendant No. 3 from a Government-approved architect and the other submitted by the contesting



respondent No. 1-plaintiff, and that obtaining another report from the 'Patwari' would only cause unnecessary delay and protract the proceedings, despite the fact that the application dated 24.12.2025 (Annexure P-10) was moved by the petitioner to avoid any ambiguity and to arrive at an accurate conclusion.

2. A limited prayer has been made by learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner that the learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Patiala, be directed to assess the value of the property independently without being influenced by the reports already submitted before the Court.

3. In view of the facts of the present case, this Court is of the opinion that issuance of notice to the respondents would unnecessarily delay the proceedings; as such, issuance of notice to the respondents is dispensed with.

4. Accordingly, without making any comment on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Patiala, to assess the value of the property independently, without being influenced by the reports already submitted before it.

5. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

MARCH 20, 2026

nitin

Whether Speaking

Whether Reportable

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)

JUDGE

Yes

No