



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.108

CRWP-2933-2026

Date of decision : 18.03.2026

Gaurav Kamboj

..... Petitioner

Versus

UT, Chandigarh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE LAPITA BANERJI

Present : Mr. A. P. Kaushal, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Rajiv Vij, Addl. P. P., UT, Chandigarh.

* * * * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

(1) At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he restricts his prayer to the issuance of directions to respondent No. 3-District Magistrate, Karnal to finally dispose of the recommendation made by respondent No. 4 to respondent No.3, for the grant of parole to the petitioner, which has been pending decision since 03.02.2026.

(2) Learned State counsel has no objection to the acceptance of the afore alternate prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

(3) After hearing learned counsel for the parties and going through the record, we find that the decision on the petitioner's request for grant of parole has been unnecessarily delayed by the State which is also against the spirit behind Section 3 of the Punjab Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release) Act, 1962.

(4) In the light of the above, we dispose of the instant petition with a direction to respondent No. 3 to take a final decision on the petitioner's request, for the grant of parole, within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, in accordance with law.

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

18.03.2026

shamsher

[LAPITA BANERJI]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No