



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 124

CWP-7719-2026
Decided on: 13.03.2026

Sunita Sangwan

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

PRESENT: Mr. Jawahar Lal Goyal, Advocate and
Mr. Parth Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J.(ORAL)

The petition has been filed with a grievance that the petitioner's juniors have been promoted as High School Headmaster as well as Principal vide impugned orders dated 15.03.2021 and 29.10.2024, Annexures P-9 and P-13, respectively.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the seniority position assigned to the petitioner as Elementary School Head Master in the earlier seniority list dated 01.04.2016, Annexure P-10, was corrected by the department while issuing revised seniority list dated 01.07.2025, Annexure P-18, subsequent to the orders aforementioned. The petitioner has been representing to the Department ever since for giving her due promotions on that basis, but no action has been taken. Finally, she submitted a legal notice, dated 29.12.2025, Annexure P-21, which is also pending for appropriate decision. At this stage, she will be satisfied in case respondent no.1 is directed to decide the said legal notice within a specified period.

3. Notice of motion.



4. Mr. Amit Sahni, Additional Advocate General, Haryana assisted by Mr. Harmanjit Singh Johal, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/State and submits that the pending legal notice, dated 29.12.2025, will be decided by respondent no.1/Additional Chief Secretary, Government of Haryana, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within three months.

5. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof with a direction if the due benefits are found admissible, the same shall be released to the petitioner within four weeks therefrom.

6. Ordered accordingly.

7. In case the order is not passed and the benefits found admissible are not released within the stipulated periods, the officer concerned shall pay costs of ₹50,000 to the petitioner.

13.03.2026

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

Yes/~~No~~
~~Yes~~/No