

2026:PHHC:039456



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-13822-2026

Date of decision :13.03.2026

JINDAL AND ANOTHER

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Devansh Khanna, Advocate with
Mr. Tejinder Kataria, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vipul Sherwal, Asstt. A.G., Haryana.

(THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING)

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The prayer in the present petition under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 is for quashing of the notices dated 20.02.2026 issued to the petitioners in connection with FIR No.13 dated 11.01.2023 registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC (336(2), 336(3), 338 and 61(2) of BNSS) and Sections 7, 13(1)(A) read with Section 13(2) of P.C. Act at Police Station Hansi City, Hansi, District Hansi.

The learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners have been made an accused after three years of filing of the challan and therefore 7 days prior notice be given to him in case any coercive action is to be taken against him.

The learned State counsel submits that the petitioner has the efficacious remedy of applying for anticipatory bail in case he feels that he is likely to be arrested in the aforementioned FIR.

Heard.

Admittedly, the FIR in question was registered on 11.01.2023. The report under Section 173(2) Cr.P.C. (154 of BNSS) stands submitted against the co-accused of the petitioner. He is sought to be summoned now. In case, he is so aggrieved and fears that he would be arrested on his appearance before the Investigating Agency, he is at liberty to apply for the concession of anticipatory bail.

In view of the above, I find no merit in the present petition. Therefore, the same stands dismissed.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

13.03.2026
JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No