

217 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2026.PHHC.005192

FAO-2740-2001
Date of Decision:16.01.2026

RAJESH AGGARWAL ...Appellant
Vs.
SURAT SINGH ...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: None for the appellant.
Mr. Arvinder Arora, Advocate for the respondent.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. On the previous date of hearing, i.e. 10.12.2025, the following order was passed:

“No one has put in appearance on behalf of the appellant. Notice was issued to appellant and the same has been received back with the report of incomplete address.

Let one last attempt be made to serve the appellant through SHO, Naraingarh for 16.01.2026.”

Perusal of the office report shows that notice issued to the appellant (through SHO Naraingarh) has been received back stating that ‘No information found about the appellant at the given address’.

2. This matter pertains to the year 2001. It can be safely presumed that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the present appeal. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the instant appeal on the docket, indefinitely.

3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty to the appellant to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order, within a period of three months from today.

4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands disposed of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

16.01.2026

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE